



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/137,503 08/20/98 GRAEF

P WEYC111558

EXAMINER

QM12/0129

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR
JOHNSON & KINDNESS
SUITE 2800
1420 FIFTH AVENUE
SEATTLE WA 98101-2347

SHANDSKI, P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3761

DATE MAILED:

01/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Response to Rule 312 Communication

Application No.

09/051,993

Applicant(s)

DAN ET AL.

Examiner

Paul A Shanoski

Art Unit

3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☐ The petition filed on _____ under 37 CFR 1.312(b) is granted. The paper has been forwarded to the examiner for consideration on the merits.

2. ☐ The petition filed on _____ under 37 CFR 1.312(b) is dismissed.

a) ☐ The requisite petition fee of \$_____ has not been received.

b) ☐ Other :

Director
Technology Center


3. ☒ The amendment filed on 14 August 2000 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☒ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

d) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.


GLENN K. DAWSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner spoke with George Renzoni regarding the Notice of Allowability which mistakenly indicated that the submitted drawings were informal, and that a Notice of the Draftsperson's review was attached.

This indication was made in error, as in fact the drawings, as submitted, are formal drawings, and no Notice of the Draftsperspn's Patent Drawing Review is in the file. Therefore, the application is in condition for allowance

ATTACHMENT TO AND MODIFICATION OF
NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY (PTO-37)
(November, 2000)

NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE PERMITTED TO FILE CORRECTED OR FORMAL DRAWINGS, OR A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION, notwithstanding any indication to the contrary in the attached Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).

If the following language appears on the attached Notice of Allowability, the portion lined through below is of no force and effect and is to be ignored¹:

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE to comply with the requirements noted below is set to EXPIRE **THREE MONTHS** FROM THE "DATE MAILED" of this Office action. Failure to comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. ~~Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136a.~~

Similar language appearing in any attachments to the Notice of Allowability, such as in an Examiner's Amendment/Comment or in a Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948, is also to be ignored.

¹ The language which is crossed out is contrary to amended 37 CFR 1.85(c) and 1.136. See "Changes to Implement the Patent Business Goals", 65 Fed. Reg. 54603, 54629, 54641, 54670, 54674 (September 8, 2000), 1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77, 99, 110, 135, 139 (September 19, 2000)